

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/993,348	GREWAL, PARWINDER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah K. Ware	1651	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) _____.

(2) Sean Myers-Payne.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-13, 15-21 and new claim 32

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' Representative authorized the supplemental examiner's amendment to add back a dependent claim 31 which was accidentally canceled by a typo mistake in the initial examiner's amendment mailed out on 10/20/04. Thus, newly added claim 32 is identical to previously canceled claim 31.